

Satan's seed / Illuminati Bloodlines? Part 1

Here's a question I received from a reader / listener in regards to some of my research that I presented in a radio program on [The Threshing Floor](#) that aired some time back.

Question: Could it be that the 'evil' you speak of which God created (this I believe since God is the creator, and Satan cannot create – only distort or morph) continues to this day by inhabiting **Satan's seed, (some people)** which started in the Adamic creation with Eve copulating with Satan (rather than eating of the apple - Sunday school teaching) and Cain was the result of this, his offspring, and so forth? Added to this was the Nephilim having sexual relations with Noah's daughters, etc.

There are some questions that this reader has asked that are based on (mis) information floating around cyberspace for quite some time. I have arranged these queries in a list that I will respond to individually:

1. Does Satan have 'physical' bodily human seed or descendants that many claim he does?
2. Did Eve have sexual relations with Satan?
3. Was Cain a son of Satan, and not a son of Adam?
4. Who are the Nephilim?
5. Who are the sons of God that had relations with the daughters of men, are they 'fallen angels'?
6. Did the union of the sons of God mating with daughters of men produce the 'Nephilim' and other hybrid creatures in the days of Noah?

Q1. Does Satan have 'physical' bodily human seed or descendants that many claim he does?

There are many strange doctrines that have originated from this teaching that Satan, and angelic spirit beings are able to produce offspring by having relations with flesh and blood women? I can answer all of the above questions by simply stating that the Bible places no emphasis on physical descent being of importance either for salvation or for condemnation. It is the spiritual state of a person that determines his 'goodness' or 'evilness'. And therefore all such theories of Satan's seed, and of hybrids arising as a result of mating between different species and producing children are unbiblical, and I hope to prove as much, both scripturally and anecdotally.

- **1 Timothy 1:4:** Neither give heed to fables and endless **genealogies**, which minister questions, rather than godly edifying which is in faith: so do.

The Jews in Jesus' day had a tradition of maintaining lengthy genealogies to prove their descent which they equated with righteousness, that is, if they could prove their descent back to Abraham they could claim that they were automatically chosen for salvation. Jesus however shot this idea down in rather strong language.

- **John 8:44:** Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do.

The Jews told Jesus that they were the descendants of Abraham, that their bloodline was Abrahamic, and since they could prove their lineage, they were therefore somehow special in God's eyes. However, Jesus told the Jews that physical descent was of absolutely no significance; that though it was true that they were the physical children of Abraham; it was of greater significance that they were **the spiritual children of the devil**. The Jews, though the descendants of the patriarchs, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, were just as wicked if not more so than their gentile Canaanite neighbors. Jesus did not question the fact that they were Abraham's seed, that is of his bloodline and ancestry, yet He called the devil their father. It is rather obvious in this context that they could not have been both Abraham's and Satan's corporeal seed at the same time; **therefore the devil could only have been the spiritual father of the Pharisee Jews not their physical ancestor**. They were his spiritual children not his physical offspring.

Even if it were true that Cain and his descendants were the physical offspring of Satan it would matter not a bit because God would classify them as no worse than the other children of Adam. As **Romans 3:23** proves God has accounted all men equally guilty regardless of their bloodline or ancestry. **Evil does not reside in the blood but in the heart of man** as noted by the prophet Jeremiah.

- **Jeremiah 17:9** The **heart is deceitful** above all things, and desperately wicked: who can know it? The following scripture also confirms that sin infects all mankind not just certain bloodlines
- **Romans 3:23** For **all have sinned**, and come short of the glory of God...

Was the flood of Noah a result of bloodline contamination?

- **Genesis 6:5** God saw that the **wickedness of man** was great in the earth, and that **every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil** continually. 6. And it **repented the LORD that he had made man on the earth**, and it grieved him at his heart.

There is much false teaching that Noah's flood was a result of contaminated bloodlines, that it was the Nephilim or fallen angels that were the cause of this judgment. However the Bible lays the cause of the flood squarely at man's feet not at the 'Giants' or the 'sons of God' or 'Fallen angels' or 'Hybrids' or any creature other than man. The judgment of the flood came upon Earth not just because of Cain and his children but because all men on earth were wicked with the exception of Noah. According to the bible all the other children of Adam through Seth were just as wicked as the children of Cain. Therefore those who try to make a case that Cain's line was Satanic and Seth's was Godly cannot prove it through scripture, just through fanciful theorizing. So if the evil nature of man is a spiritual problem, the problem is one of the heart, why do so many keep insisting on make it is a physical problem limited to a certain lineage or bloodline or race (**and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually** – **Genesis 6:5**)? **God repented that He had man on the earth, not giants or angels or sons of God or any other creature. God was grieved at His heart by the wickedness of man not that of the 'fallen angels' or the 'Nephilim'. I hope the point has been made, and proven, that the degenerate condition of man is not because of his ancestry but because of the wickedness of the heart.** So the judgement of Noah's day was a direct result of man's spiritual wickedness and cannot be blamed on any one race or bloodline of man, or on any other creature. The previously presented information, I hope, is conclusive evidence that it is irrelevant how much importance man places upon bloodlines, God only considers the heart and judges man accordingly.

- **1 Samuel 16:7**: But the LORD said unto Samuel, Look not on his countenance, or on the height of his stature; because I have refused him: for **the LORD seeth not as man seeth; for man looketh on the outward appearance, but the LORD looketh on the heart.**

More evidence that God classifies humanity as good or evil, based on their spiritual condition can be found in the following scripture:

- **1 Peter 1:23**: Being born again, not of **corruptible seed**, but of **incorruptible**, by the **word of God**, which liveth and abideth for ever.

Natural seed, be it of plants or animals or humans is 'corruptible', that is all natural things die but those spiritual seed, the word of God is incorruptible, spiritual children born of spiritual seed live eternally, are incorruptible. Spiritual children are not accounted on basis of their bloodlines but rather on the basis of faith as proven by the following scriptures, and the entire New Testament as a matter of fact.

- **Romans 2:28**: For **he is not a Jew, which is one outwardly**; neither is that circumcision, which is outward in the flesh: 29. But **he is a Jew, which is one inwardly**; and circumcision is that of the heart, in the spirit, and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God.

So the Bible provides abundant proof that God does not concern Himself with bloodlines, for ultimately **'Flesh and Blood cannot inherit the Kingdom of God'** (**1 Corinthians 15:50**.) If the Bible clearly proves that flesh and blood cannot inherit the Kingdom of God, then what difference does it make what bloodline a person comes from? Spiritual seed therefore is accounted not from a physical lineage or bloodline, so even if Satan had corporeal children, it would make no difference, God only accepts or condemns mankind on the basis of their spiritual condition. The **'Illuminati'** are supposedly big on bloodlines, which in my opinion, is a smokescreen, designed to keep people focused on meaningless trivialities that have no significance according to the Bible.

- **Acts 17:26** And hath **made of one blood all nations of men** for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, and the bounds of their habitation...

The Bible clearly teaches that God has made of **ONE BLOOD** all nations of men, whether these men call themselves **The Illuminati** or not is of little consequence. Clicking on the previous link will take you to a Google search page that lists 278,000 websites that address the subject of Illuminati bloodlines, but to what purpose? If all men are made of one blood, what difference does it make if people have created superficial differences that ultimately have no meaning except to justify evil practices?

Q2. Did Eve have sexual relations with Satan?

No, if you can find it mentioned somewhere in the Bible that she did, let me know! I have not read anything to the effect anywhere in it. The doctrine of Eve eating the fruit of the knowledge of good and evil being equivalent to having sex with the serpent is an ancient one but an unbiblical one. Knowledge is the domain of the mind, not of the body, and therefore has no connection with bodily relationships of any type. Look at the following scripture:

Genesis 3:6 And when the woman saw that **the tree was good for food**, and that **it was pleasant to the eyes**, and a tree to be **desired to make one wise**, **she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat**, and gave also unto her husband with her; **and he did eat**.

The above scripture reveals several facts:

1. The woman saw that the tree was good for food
2. The tree was pleasant to the eyes
3. The tree was desirable to make one wise
4. She took the fruit of the tree and ate
5. She gave the fruit to her husband and he ate

The Bible does not teach that the serpent was good for food (*although it might have been a good idea to have eaten him instead of the fruit!*) The serpent did not offer himself as the temptation; this is a Biblical principle that the serpent uses 'things', whether material things like money or intangible things such as knowledge to tempt people, not by offers of having sex with him. This was certainly true in the case of the woman who was attracted to the beauty of the tree, and who was tempted by the desire to become wise. Furthermore, she took the fruit of the tree not the serpent, she ate the fruit of the tree which she reasoned would make her wise, her motivation in eating the fruit was to become mentally superior not enjoy physical pleasure. Nowhere in the Bible does it say that she was attracted to the person of the serpent, only to the tree and its fruit. Therefore the

interpretation that eating the fruit meant having sex with the serpent is a subjective one, and cannot be proven by scripture. According to this method of interpretation, just about any doctrine can be formulated (and have been formulated) by misquoting and misrepresenting the plain words of scripture.

Even if she had relations with the serpent, cross – species reproduction is not possible by mere sexual relations. Even if it were possible, how could she have gotten a man as an offspring whose father was a reptile, a serpent? Hybridization, which is the process of combining different species to create hybrid creatures, is done through genetic manipulation, done in laboratories not through a natural process. This is an ancient science first developed by Lucifer and his companion angels that sinned with him. This will be discussed in further detail in response to the question of the identity of the ‘Nephilim’, and the sons of God.

Genesis 4:1 And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, **I have gotten a man from the LORD**. When Cain was born, Eve stated that she had gotten a MAN, not a serpent or a hybrid. And that she had gotten the man from the LORD, from Jehovah, not from the serpent or Satan. Therefore all the **SERPENT – SEED** doctrines are extra Biblical doctrines, not supported by scripture. Incidentally doing a Google search on this subject indicated that there are more than a million sites that refer to this doctrine. Goes to show how prevalent and how rapidly false doctrines make the rounds on the internet.

Q3. Was Cain a son of Satan, physically speaking?

The answer is no, and the following scripture ought to answer the question quite clearly, and leave no doubt as to whose son Cain was, according to the flesh!

• **Genesis 4:1**: “And **Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain**, and said, **I have gotten a man** from the LORD”.

- Adam **knew** Eve, meaning he had relations with Eve, scripture does not say that she had relations with the serpent or Satan as we have already discussed in response to the previous question!
- Eve **conceived** because of her relations with Adam not Satan! Scripture clearly states that Eve conceived as a result of her union with her husband Adam.
- Eve **bore** Cain after she had conceived as a result of her relations with Adam.
- Adam knew Eve...**KNEW** (had relations with her), she then **CONCEIVED** (as a result of those relations), and **BORE** (gave birth to Cain as a consequence of her conception). I do not believe that such clarity of scripture can be interpreted any other way to try and prove that Cain was the physical son of the serpent or Satan, and therefore **SERPENT – SEED**.

The New Testament confirms that Cain’s evil or wickedness was of a spiritual nature, and had nothing to do with his race or bloodline.

• **1 John 3:12**: Not as **Cain**, who was of that wicked one, and slew his brother. **And wherefore slew he him**? Because his own works were evil, and his brother's righteous.

Cain was of the wicked one (Satan) not because of race but because his works were evil. All these ‘**bloodline**’ / ‘**racial**’ doctrines attempt to divert attention from an obviously spiritual problem that results from the wickedness of the heart to a mere physical problem that is a result of one’s bloodline or lineage. The heart does not become evil because of the body, the body is used for wicked actions, such as Cain slaying his brother Abel, because of the wickedness of the heart.